

Inside s -inner product sets and Euclidean designs

Hiroshi Nozaki

August 26, 2009

Graduate School of Information Sciences, Tohoku University
Aramaki-Aza-Aoba 09, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8579, Japan
nozaki@ims.is.tohoku.ac.jp

Abstract

Let X be a finite subset of Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^d$. We define for each $x \in X$, $B(x) := \{(x, y) \mid y \in X, x \neq y, (x, x) \geq (y, y)\}$ where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the standard inner product. X is called an inside s -inner product set if $|B(x)| \leq s$ for all $x \in X$. In this paper, we prove that the cardinalities of inside s -inner product sets have the Fisher type upper bound. An inside s -inner product set is said to be tight if its cardinality attains the Fisher type upper bound. Tight inside s -inner product sets are closely related to tight Euclidean designs. Indeed, many tight Euclidean designs are tight inside s -inner product sets. Generally, a tight inside s -inner product set becomes a Euclidean s -design with a weight function which may not be positive. In the last part of this paper, we prove the non-existence of tight 2- or 3-inner product sets supported by a union of two concentric spheres. In order to prove this result, we give a new upper bound for the finite subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ which does not have positive inner products.

1 Introduction

Delsarte, Goethals, and Seidel [15] studied a finite subset X of the unit sphere S^{d-1} . In their article, the two concepts, spherical t -designs and s -distance sets, play important roles. The cardinalities of spherical t -designs have the Fisher type lower bound. A spherical t -design is said to be tight if its cardinality attain the Fisher type lower bound. Similarly, the cardinalities of s -distance sets have the Fisher type upper bound, and the tightness for s -distance sets is defined. There is strong relationship between these concepts, that is, a tight spherical design is a tight distance set, and the converse also holds. Moreover, this result has been generalized for the relationship between weighted spherical designs and locally distance sets [25]. When we consider this kind of relationship, the key is the Fisher type lower bound and upper bound. In this paper, a bound is said to be Fisher type if the bound is given as the dimension of the linear space of certain functions by the method of Koornwinder [19]. A design and a distance set are said to be tight if their cardinalities attain the Fisher type lower bound and upper bound, respectively.

We want to generalize this theory to Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^d$. However, this problem is very difficult, and we do not have an effective idea. Euclidean designs are known as a generalization of weighted spherical designs, and have the Fisher type lower bound [16, 24]. A Euclidean t -design is a finite weighted set in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a certain approximation property. The concept of s -distance sets in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is naturally defined. Furthermore, Bannai, Kawasaki, Nitamizu and Sato proved that the cardinalities of s -distance sets in $\mathbb{R}^d$ have the Fisher type upper bound [14]. However, known tight Euclidean designs are hardly related to tight s -distance sets in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Indeed, the only known tight s -distance set supported by at least two concentric spheres is Lisoněk's 2-distance set supported by a union of two concentric spheres [20], which is a Euclidean 3-design and not tight (see [14]). Therefore, it seems that a new concept is needed instead of s -distance sets or Euclidean designs.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05B30 (52C99).
Supported by JSPS Research Fellow.

In this paper, we introduce a new concept, inside s -inner product sets in $\mathbb{R}^d$. The concept of inside s -inner product sets is a generalization of locally s -distance sets on the unit sphere. Furthermore, inside s -inner product sets are essential for the Fisher type upper bound for Euclidean space because the approach of Koornwinder is naturally applied to a proof of this upper bound. Moreover, we can find many examples of tight inside s -inner product sets, which are not s -inner product set. In fact, many known examples of tight inside s -inner product sets are tight Euclidean designs. Generally, a tight inside s -inner product set become a Euclidean s -design with a weight function which is not necessarily positive.

The classification of tight inside s -inner product sets is also an interesting problem. First, we consider the problem of the classification of tight s -inner product sets. Note that an s -inner product set is also an inside s -inner product set. In Section 5, we prove the non-existence of tight 2- or 3-inner product sets supported by a union of two concentric spheres for any dimension at least 2. In order to prove this result, we give a new upper bound for the finite subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ which does not have positive inner products. This upper bound is a generalization of the Rankin bound in [26].

2 Definitions and the Fisher type upper bound

Let $\mathbb{R}^d$ denote the d -dimensional Euclidean space. For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we denote their standard inner product by (x, y) . Let X be a finite subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$. We define $A(X) := \{(x, y) \mid x, y \in X, x \neq y\}$. For a fixed $x \in X$, we define $A(x) := \{(x, y) \mid y \in X, x \neq y\}$ and $B(x) := \{(x, y) \mid y \in X, x \neq y, \|x\| \geq \|y\|\}$ where $\|x\| := \sqrt{(x, x)}$ is the norm of x . Let $|*|$ denote the cardinality.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a finite subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$.

- (1) X is called an s -inner product set if $|A(X)| = s$.
- (2) X is called a locally s -inner product set if $|A(x)| \leq s$ for all $x \in X$.
- (3) X is called an inside s -inner product set if $|B(x)| \leq s$ for all $x \in X$.

Note that an s -inner product set is a locally s -inner product set, and a locally s -inner product set is an inside s -inner product set. Let $d(x, y)$ denote the Euclidean distance between x and y . We define $A_{dis}(X) := \{d(x, y) \mid x, y \in X, x \neq y\}$. For a fixed $x \in X$, we define $A_{dis}(x) := \{d(x, y) \mid y \in X, x \neq y\}$.

Definition 2.2. Let X be a finite subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$.

- (1) X is called an s -distance set if $|A_{dis}(X)| = s$.
- (2) X is called a locally s -distance set if $|A_{dis}(x)| \leq s$ for all $x \in X$.

Let $S^{d-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ denote the unit sphere whose center is the origin. We note that an s -inner product set on S^{d-1} is an s -distance set on S^{d-1} .

We prepare some notation. Let $\mathcal{S} := S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \cdots \cup S_p$ be a union of p concentric spheres, where S_i is a sphere whose center is the origin and whose radius is r_i . We assume $0 \leq r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_p$. If r_1 is equal to zero, then S_1 is the origin and regarded as a special sphere. If $\mathcal{S}$ contains the origin, then $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}} := 1$, and if $\mathcal{S}$ does not contain the origin, then $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}} := 0$. Let $\mathcal{P}_l(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the linear space of all real polynomials of degree at most l , with d variables. Let $\text{Hom}_l(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the linear space of all real homogeneous polynomials of degree l . Let $\text{Harm}_l(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the linear space of all real harmonic polynomials of degree l , i.e., $\text{Harm}_l(\mathbb{R}^d) := \{f \in \text{Hom}_l(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \Delta f = 0\}$, where $\Delta := \sum_{i=1}^d \partial^2 / \partial x_i^2$. We define $\mathcal{P}_l^*(\mathbb{R}^d) := \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor l/2 \rfloor} \text{Hom}_{l-2i}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $\lfloor a \rfloor$ denotes the maximum integer in all integers at most a for a real number a . Let $\mathcal{P}_l(\mathcal{S})$, $\text{Hom}_l(\mathcal{S})$, $\text{Harm}_l(\mathcal{S})$ and $\mathcal{P}_l^*(\mathcal{S})$ be the linear space of all functions which are the restrictions of the corresponding polynomials to $\mathcal{S}$. For example, $\mathcal{P}_l(\mathcal{S}) := \{f|_{\mathcal{S}} \mid f \in \mathcal{P}_l(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$. The dimensions of these linear spaces are well known. Define $p' = p - \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}}$.

Theorem 2.3 ([3, 16, 18]). (1) $\dim \mathcal{P}_l(\mathcal{S}) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}} + \sum_{i=0}^{2p'-1} \binom{d+l-i-1}{d-1} & \text{if } l \geq 2p', \\ \dim \mathcal{P}_l(\mathbb{R}^d) = \binom{d+l}{l} & \text{if } l \leq 2p' - 1. \end{cases}$

$$(2) \dim \mathcal{P}_l^*(\mathcal{S}) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}} + \sum_{i=0}^{p'-1} \binom{d+l-2i-1}{d-1} & \text{if } l \text{ is even and } l \geq 2p', \\ \sum_{i=0}^{p'-1} \binom{d+l-2i-1}{d-1} & \text{if } l \text{ is odd and } l \geq 2p', \\ \dim \mathcal{P}_l^*(\mathbb{R}^d) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{l}{2} \rfloor} \binom{d+l-2i-1}{d-1} & \text{if } l \leq 2p' - 1. \end{cases}$$

Let $X \subset \mathcal{S}$. X is called antipodal if $-x$ is an element of X for all $x \in X$. We define $X_i := X \cap S_i$. X is said to be supported by $\mathcal{S}$, if X_i is not empty for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$. We introduce the known Fisher type upper bounds for s -distance sets and s -inner product sets.

Theorem 2.4 ([7, 14, 15, 17]). (1) *Let X be a locally s -distance set (locally s -inner product set) on S^{d-1} . Then, $|X| \leq \binom{d+s-1}{s} + \binom{d+s-2}{s-1} = \dim \mathcal{P}_s(S^{d-1})$.*

(2) *Let X be an antipodal locally s -distance set (locally s -inner product set) on S^{d-1} . Then, $|X| \leq 2 \binom{d+s-2}{s-1} = 2 \dim \mathcal{P}_{s-1}^*(S^{d-1})$.*

(3) *Let X be a locally s -inner product set in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then, $|X| \leq \binom{d+s}{s} = \dim \mathcal{P}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

(4) *Let X be an s -distance set in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then, $|X| \leq \binom{d+s}{s} = \dim \mathcal{P}_s(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

(5) *Let X be an s -distance set supported by $\mathcal{S}$. Assume $0 \notin X$. Then, $|X| \leq \dim \mathcal{P}_s(\mathcal{S})$.*

(6) *Let X be an antipodal s -distance set supported by $\mathcal{S}$. Assume $0 \notin X$. Then, $|X| \leq 2 \dim \mathcal{P}_{s-1}^*(\mathcal{S})$.*

It is written in [17] as the referee's comment that Theorem 2.4 (3) can be deduced also using the approach of Koornwinder [19]. However, a proof by this method has not been published. In this paper, by the approach of Koornwinder, we prove the Fisher type inequality for inside s -inner product sets as a generalization of Theorem 2.4 (1), (2) and (3). If X is an antipodal inside s -inner product set, and $0 \in X$, then there exists odd k , such that $k \leq s$ and X is an inside k -inner product set.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a union of p concentric spheres centered at the origin.*

(1) *Let X be an inside s -inner product set supported by $\mathcal{S}$. Then,*

$$|X| \leq \dim \mathcal{P}_s(\mathcal{S}).$$

(2) *Let X be an antipodal inside s -inner product set supported by $\mathcal{S}$. Then,*

$$|X| \leq \begin{cases} 2 \dim \mathcal{P}_{s-1}^*(\mathcal{S}) + \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}} & \text{if } s \text{ is even,} \\ 2 \dim \mathcal{P}_{s-1}^*(\mathcal{S}) & \text{if } s \text{ is odd and } 0 \notin X. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let X be an inside s -inner product set supported by $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Note that $-||x||^2 \leq \alpha < ||x||^2$ for any $\alpha \in B(x)$. For each $x \in X$, we define the polynomial $f_x(\xi)$ in the variables $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_d)$:

$$f_x(\xi) = \begin{cases} \prod_{\alpha \in B(x)} \frac{(x, \xi) - \alpha}{(x, x) - \alpha} & \text{if } B(x) \neq \emptyset, \\ 1 \text{ (constant)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, $f_x(\xi)$ is a polynomial of degree at most s . $B(x)$ is an empty set if and only if $x \in X_1$ and $|X_1| = 1$. Hence, the number of x such that $f_x(\xi) = 1$ (constant) is at most 1. By the definition of f_x , $f_x(x) = 1$, and $f_x(y) = 0$ for $x \neq y \in X$ and $||y|| \leq ||x||$. We order the elements of X as $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ in such a way that $||x_i|| \leq ||x_{i+1}||$, where $|X| = n$. Let M be the $n \times n$ matrix whose (i, j) -entry is $f_{x_i}(x_j)$. Then, we get

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} I_{|X_1|} & * & \cdots & \cdots & * \\ 0 & I_{|X_2|} & * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & I_{|X_p|} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.1)$$

where I_m denotes the $m \times m$ identity matrix. Therefore, M is a nonsingular matrix, and hence $\{f_{x_i}\}_{i=1,2,\dots,n}$ are linearly independent. This proves (1).

Let X be an antipodal inside s -inner product set supported by $\mathcal{S}$. Then there exists a subset Y' such that $X \setminus \{0\} = Y' \cup (-Y')$. We define $Y := Y' \cup \{0\}$ or Y' , according to $0 \in X$ or not. Note that $|X| = 2|Y| - \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}}$. For each $y \in Y$, we define

$$B^2(y) := \{\alpha^2 \mid \alpha \in B(y), \alpha \neq 0, \alpha \neq -(y, y)\}.$$

Then, $|B^2(y)| \leq \lfloor (s-1)/2 \rfloor$. For any $\alpha^2 \in B^2(y)$, we have $0 < \alpha^2 < (y, y)^2$. For each $y \in Y$, we define the polynomial $f_y(\xi)$:

$$f_y(\xi) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{(constant) if } y = 0. \\ \left(\frac{(y, \xi)}{(y, y)} \right)^{(s-1)-2\lfloor (s-1)/2 \rfloor} \prod_{\alpha^2 \in B^2(y)} \frac{(y, \xi)^2 - \alpha^2}{(y, y)^2 - \alpha^2} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

If $0 \in Y$, and s is even, then $1 \notin \mathcal{P}_{s-1}^*(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Therefore, $f_y(\xi) \in \mathcal{P}_{s-1}^*(\mathbb{R}^d) + \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}} \text{Hom}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Note that $f_y(y) = 1$, and $f_y(z) = 0$ for $y \neq z \in Y$ and $\|z\| \leq \|y\|$. By an argument similar to that in the proof of Theorem 2.5 (1), $\{f_y\}_{y \in Y}$ are linearly independent as elements of $\mathcal{P}_{s-1}^*(\mathcal{S}) + \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}} \text{Hom}_0(\mathcal{S})$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |Y| &\leq \dim(\mathcal{P}_{s-1}^*(\mathcal{S}) + \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}} \text{Hom}_0(\mathcal{S})) \\ &= \begin{cases} \dim \mathcal{P}_{s-1}^*(\mathcal{S}) + \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}} & \text{if } s \text{ is even,} \\ \dim \mathcal{P}_{s-1}^*(\mathcal{S}) & \text{if } s \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Since $|X| = 2|Y| - \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}}$, this proves (2). $\square$

Of course, Theorem 2.5 is applicable for s -inner product sets and locally s -inner product sets. If $p = 1$ and $r_1 \neq 0$, then the upper bounds in Theorem 2.5 (1) and (2) coincide with those in Theorem 2.4 (1) and (2), respectively. If $s \leq 2p' - 1$, then the upper bound in Theorem 2.5 (1) coincides with that in Theorem 2.4 (3). Moreover, if $s \geq 2p'$, then the upper bound in Theorem 2.5 (1) is better than that in Theorem 2.4 (3).

An (antipodal) inside s -inner product set (resp. locally s -inner product set, or resp. s -inner product set) is said to be tight, if the equality in Theorem 2.5 holds. We introduce examples of tight inside s -inner product sets in Section 4. Many examples of tight inside inner product sets are tight Euclidean designs.

It has not proved that locally s -distance sets supported by $\mathcal{S}$ have the same upper bound as in Theorem 2.5.

3 Euclidean designs

Euclidean designs were introduced by Neumaier and Seidel in 1988 [24]. In 2008, Ei. Bannai, Et. Bannai, Hirao, and Sawa generalized the concept of Euclidean designs, namely, X may contain the origin [6]. We consider the Haar measure σ_i on each S_i . For $S_i \neq \{0\}$, we assume $|S_i| = \int_{S_i} d\sigma_i(x)$ where $|S_i|$ is the volume of S_i . If $S_i = \{0\}$, then we define $\frac{1}{|S_i|} \int_{S_i} f(x) d\sigma_i(x) = f(0)$.

Definition 3.1 (Euclidean design). Let X be a finite set supported by $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $w(x) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be a positive weight function. (X, w) is called a Euclidean t -design if the following equality holds for all $f \in \mathcal{P}_t(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{w(X_i)}{|S_i|} \int_{S_i} f(x) d\sigma_i(x) = \sum_{x \in X} w(x) f(x).$$

where $w(X_i) := \sum_{x \in X_i} w(x)$.

The largest value of t for which (X, w) is a Euclidean t -design is called the maximum strength of the design. If $p = 1$, then Euclidean t -designs are called weighted spherical t -designs, and if $p = 1$ and w is a constant function, then Euclidean t -designs are called spherical t -designs. We can check the strength t by the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *The following are equivalent:*

- (1) (X, w) is a Euclidean t -design.
- (2) $\sum_{x \in X} w(x) \|x\|^{2j} \varphi(x) = 0$, for any polynomial $\varphi \in \text{Harm}_l(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $1 \leq l \leq t$ and $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{t-l}{2} \rfloor$.
- (3) $\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in X} w(x) w(y) \|x\|^{2j+l} \|y\|^{2j+l} G_l^{(d)} \left(\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}, \frac{y}{\|y\|} \right) \right) = 0$, for Gegenbauer polynomial $G_l^{(d)}$ with $1 \leq l \leq t$ and $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{t-l}{2} \rfloor$. Here, $G_l^{(d)}(1) = \dim \text{Harm}_l(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. The equivalence of the first and second statements is well known [24]. We prove the equivalence of the second and third statements. Let $\{\varphi_{l,k}\}_{1 \leq k \leq h_l}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\text{Harm}_l(S^{d-1})$ with respect to the inner product $(f, g) = \frac{1}{|S^{d-1}|} \int_{S^{d-1}} f(x)g(x)d\sigma(x)$. By the addition formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{h_l} \left(\sum_{x \in X} w(x) \|x\|^{2j} \varphi_{l,k}(x) \right)^2 &= \sum_{k=1}^{h_l} \left(\sum_{x \in X} w(x) \|x\|^{2j+l} \varphi_{l,k} \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|} \right) \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{(x,y) \in X \times X} w(x)w(y) \|x\|^{2j+l} \|y\|^{2j+l} \sum_{k=1}^{h_l} \varphi_{l,k} \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|} \right) \varphi_{l,k} \left(\frac{y}{\|y\|} \right) \quad (3.1) \\ &= \sum_{(x,y) \in X \times X} w(x)w(y) \|x\|^{2j+l} \|y\|^{2j+l} G_l^{(d)} \left(\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}, \frac{y}{\|y\|} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The equation (3.1) implies the equivalence of the second and third statements. $\square$

We have the Fisher type inequality for the cardinalities of Euclidean designs [6, 16, 21, 22].

Theorem 3.3. (1) *Let X be a Euclidean $2e$ -design supported by $\mathcal{S}$. Then,*

$$|X| \geq \dim \mathcal{P}_e(\mathcal{S}).$$

(2) *Let X be a Euclidean $(2e-1)$ -design supported by $\mathcal{S}$. Then,*

$$|X| \geq \begin{cases} 2 \dim \mathcal{P}_{e-1}^*(\mathcal{S}) - 1 & \text{if } e \text{ is odd and } 0 \in X, \\ 2 \dim \mathcal{P}_{e-1}^*(\mathcal{S}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

A Euclidean design is said to be tight if its cardinality attains the equality in Theorem 3.3. We note that these lower bounds coincide with the previous upper bounds in Theorem 2.5 when $s = e$, except when $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}} = 1$ and e (or s) is even. If $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}} = 1$ and s is even, then we have some examples of tight antipodal inside s -inner product sets (Examples 4.1 and 4.2 in section 4). Some examples of tight spherical designs are given by Delsarte, Goethals, and Seidel [15]. Moreover, the classification of tight spherical t -designs is complete, except for $t = 4, 5, 7$ [9, 10, 11]. Some examples of tight Euclidean designs are given by Bajnok, Ei. Bannai, Et. Bannai, Suprijanto, Hirao, and Sawa [1, 2, 12, 4, 6, 8].

Clearly, inside s -inner product sets on S^{d-1} are locally s -inner product sets. We note that tight locally inner product sets on S^{d-1} are strongly related to tight weighted spherical designs. If X is a tight (resp. antipodal) locally s -inner product set on S^{d-1} , then for some positive weight function w , (X, w) is a tight weighted spherical $2s$ -design (resp. $(2s-1)$ -design) [25]. Conversely, if (X, w) is a tight weighted spherical $2s$ -design (resp. $(2s-1)$ -design), then X is a tight spherical $2s$ -design (resp. $(2s-1)$ -design) and tight (resp. antipodal) s -inner product set. Therefore, tight (resp. antipodal) locally s -inner product sets on the unit sphere are tight (resp. antipodal) s -inner product sets [25].

We can expect that tight inside inner product sets are Euclidean t -designs with a high value of t . This theorem implies that a tight inside s -inner product set becomes a Euclidean s -design if we allow that a weight function is not necessarily positive.

Theorem 3.4. *Let X be a tight inside s -inner product set supported by a union of p concentric spheres $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Let a_i be any positive real numbers for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$. Then, for some weight function $w : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the following condition holds:*

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{a_i}{|S_i|} \int_{S_i} f(x) d\sigma_i(x) = \sum_{x \in X} w(x) f(x)$$

for any polynomial $f(x) \in \mathcal{P}_s(\mathcal{S})$.

Proof. Let $X := \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a tight inside s -inner product set supported by $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, where $n = \dim \mathcal{P}_s(\mathcal{S})$ and $\|x_i\| \leq \|x_{i+1}\|$. For each $x_i \in X$, we define $g_{x_i}(\xi)$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} g_{x_1}(\xi) \\ g_{x_2}(\xi) \\ \vdots \\ g_{x_n}(\xi) \end{bmatrix} := M^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} f_{x_1}(\xi) \\ f_{x_2}(\xi) \\ \vdots \\ f_{x_n}(\xi) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.2)$$

where the polynomials f_{x_i} and the matrix M are defined in the proof of Theorem 2.5. Then, $g_{x_i}(\xi)$ is a d -variable polynomial of degree at most s and

$$g_{x_i}(x_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Since $|X| = \dim \mathcal{P}_s(\mathcal{S})$, $\{g_{x_i}(\xi)\}_{i=1,2,\dots,n}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{P}_s(\mathcal{S})$. Therefore, for any $f(\xi) \in \mathcal{P}_s(\mathcal{S})$, we can write $f(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i g_{x_i}(\xi)$ where c_i are real. Defining the weight function $w(x_j) := \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{a_i}{|S_i|} \int_{S_i} g_{x_j}(x) d\sigma_i(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{a_i}{|S_i|} \int_{S_i} f(x) d\sigma_i(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{a_i}{|S_i|} \int_{S_i} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j g_{x_j}(\xi) d\sigma_i(x) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n c_j w(x_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n w(x_j) \sum_{k=1}^n c_k g_{x_k}(x_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n w(x_j) f(x_j) \end{aligned}$$

for any $f(\xi) \in \mathcal{P}_s(\mathcal{S})$. □

Corollary 3.5. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a tight inside s -inner product set supported by $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\{g_{x_i}\}_{i=1,2,\dots,n}$ be polynomials as defined above. If there exist positive real numbers $\{a_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,p}$ such that

$$w(x_j) := \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{a_i}{|S_i|} \int_{S_i} g_{x_j}(x) d\sigma_i(x) > 0$$

for any $x_j \in X$. Then, (X, w) is a Euclidean s -design.

Proof. By Theorem 3.4,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w(x_i) \|x_i\|^{2j} \varphi(x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{a_i}{|S_i|} \int_{S_i} \|x\|^{2j} \varphi(x) d\sigma_i(x) = 0$$

for any polynomial $\varphi \in \text{Harm}_l(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $1 \leq l \leq t$ and $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{t-l}{2} \rfloor$. □

Remark 3.6. Let X be a tight inside s -inner product set and a Euclidean t -design with a positive weight function w . If $t \geq s$, then $a_i := w(X_i)$. Because

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{w(X_i)}{|S_i|} \int_{S_i} g_{x_j}(x) d\sigma_i(x) = \sum_{x \in X} w(x) g_{x_j}(x) = w(x_j).$$

4 Examples of tight inside s -inner product sets

In this section, we introduce some examples of tight inside inner product sets, and their maximum achievable strengths as Euclidean designs whose weight functions are constant on each X_i .

If $p = 1$, then tight inside inner product sets are tight inner product sets and tight spherical designs. Clearly, tight inside 1-inner product sets are tight 1-inner product sets. We easily construct tight 1-inner

product sets (for an example, see [8]). We note that X is a tight 1-inner product set with a negative inner product if and only if X is a tight Euclidean 2-design [8]. A tight 1-inner product set with a non-negative inner product is not even a Euclidean 1-design. Tight antipodal inside 2-inner product sets are easily classified. Namely, tight antipodal inside 2-inner product sets are the following:

$$X = \{0, \pm a_1 e_1, \pm a_2 e_2, \dots, \pm a_d e_d\}, \text{ if } \mathcal{S} \text{ contains the origin,} \quad (4.1)$$

$$X = \{\pm a_1 e_1, \pm a_2 e_2, \dots, \pm a_d e_d\}, \text{ if } \mathcal{S} \text{ does not contain the origin,} \quad (4.2)$$

where a_i are positive numbers and $\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq d}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Note that tight antipodal inside 2-inner product sets are tight antipodal locally 2-inner product sets. Therefore, a tight antipodal locally 2-inner product set which does not contain the origin (resp. contains the origin) is a tight (resp. an almost tight) Euclidean 3-design [13], and the converse also holds. An almost tight design was defined in [6], and that is a union of a tight design satisfying $0 \notin X$ and the origin.

We can find examples of tight (antipodal) inside s -inner product sets in tight Euclidean designs (see Table 1).

$p = 2, r_1 = 1, w_1 = 1$										
t	s	d	$ X $	$ X_1 $	$ X_2 $	$B(X_1)$	$B(X_2)$	r_2	w_2	ref.
4	2	2	6	3	3	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$-2, 1$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	[4, 12]
	2	4	15	10	5	$-\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{6}$	$-\frac{3}{2}, 1$	$\sqrt{\frac{6}{5}}$	$\frac{1}{27}$	[12]
	2	5	21	6	15	$-\frac{1}{5}$	$-\frac{4}{5}, \frac{2}{5}$	$\sqrt{\frac{8}{5}}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	[12]
	2	4	15	6	9	$-\frac{1}{2}, 0$	$-1, \frac{1}{2}$	$\sqrt{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	[12]
5	3	3	14	6	8	$-1, 0$	$-3, \pm 1$	$\sqrt{3}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	[1, 2, 13]
	3	5	32	12	20	$-1, \pm \frac{1}{5}$	$-\frac{9}{5}, \pm \frac{3}{5}$	$\frac{3}{\sqrt{5}}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	[13]
	3	5	32	20	12	$-1, \pm \frac{1}{3}$	$-5, \pm 1$	$\sqrt{5}$	$\frac{1}{27}$	[13]
	3	6	44	12	32	$-1, 0$	$-\frac{3}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}$	$\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	[13]
7	4	4	48	24	24	$-1, 0, \pm \frac{1}{2}$	$-2, 0, \pm 1$	$\sqrt{2}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	[5]
	4	7	182	56	126	$-1, \pm \frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{4}{3}, 0, \pm \frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	[5]
	4	7	182	126	56	$-1, 0, \pm \frac{1}{2}$	$-3, 0, \pm 1$	$\sqrt{3}$	$\frac{1}{32}$	[5]

$p = 3, r_1 = 1, w_1 = 1$										
t	s	d	$ X $	$ X_1 $	$ X_2 $	$ X_3 $	$B(X_1)$	$B(X_2)$	$B(X_3)$	
7	4	3	26	12	6	8	$-1, 0, \pm \frac{1}{2}$	$-2, 0, \pm 1$	$-6, 0, \pm 2$	
					r_2	r_3	w_2	w_3	ref.	
					$\sqrt{2}$	$\sqrt{6}$	$\frac{5}{32}$	$\frac{1}{256}$	[2]	

Weight function $w(x) = w_i$ for $x \in X_i$. $B(x) = B(X_i)$ for $x \in X_i$.

Table 1

These examples in Table 1 are not locally s -inner product sets except $(s, d, |X|) = (3, 3, 14)$ which is a tight locally s -inner product sets (and is not an s -inner product set). We give some examples that are tight inside s -inner product sets but not tight Euclidean designs.

Example 4.1 (antipodal, $s = 4, p = 2, d = 8, 23$). Let X_2 be a tight spherical 7-design. Let X_1 be the set whose element is only the origin. Then, $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ is a tight antipodal 4-inner product set supported by a union of two concentric spheres. Note that X is an almost tight Euclidean 7-design (the maximum strength is 7). Tight spherical 7-designs are known only for $d = 8, 23$ —the E_8 root system and the kissing configuration of the minimum vectors of the Leech lattice [15].

Example 4.2 (antipodal, $s = 6, p = 2, d = 24$). Let X_2 be the minimum vectors of the Leech lattice. Let X_1 be the set whose element is only the origin. Then, $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ is a tight 6-inner product set supported by a union of two concentric spheres in $\mathbb{R}^{24}$. X is an almost tight Euclidean 11-design (the maximum strength is 11).

Example 4.3 ($s = 2, p = 2, d \geq 2$). Let X_2 be the d -dimensional regular simplex in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and

$$X_1 := \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(x_i + x_j) \mid x_i, x_j \in X_2, i \neq j \right\}.$$

Then, $r_1 = \sqrt{(1 - 1/d)/2}$, $r_2 = 1$,

$$B(x) = \left\{ -\frac{1}{d}, \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \frac{3}{d} \right) \right\} \text{ for } x \in X_1$$

and

$$B(x) = \left\{ -\frac{1}{d}, \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{d} \right) \right\} \text{ for } x \in X_2.$$

$X = X_1 \cup X_2$ is a tight inside 2-inner product set supported by a union of two concentric spheres in $\mathbb{R}^d$. If $d = 2$, then X is a tight Euclidean 4-design in Table 1. If $d \neq 2$, then (X, w) is a Euclidean 2-design (the maximum strength is 2) where $w(x) = 1$ for any $x \in X$.

Example 4.4 ($s = 2, p = 2, d \geq 4$). Assume $d \geq 4$. Let X_2 be the d -dimensional regular simplex in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and

$$X_1 := \left\{ -\frac{x_i + x_j}{d-1} \mid x_i, x_j \in X_2, i \neq j \right\}.$$

Then, $r_1 = \sqrt{2/(d(d-1))}$, $r_2 = 1$,

$$B(x) = \left\{ -\frac{4}{d(d-1)^2}, \frac{d-3}{d(d-1)^2} \right\} \text{ for } x \in X_1,$$

and

$$B(x) = \left\{ -\frac{1}{d}, \frac{2}{d(d-1)} \right\} \text{ for } x \in X_2.$$

$X = X_1 \cup X_2$ is a tight inside 2-inner product set supported by a union of two concentric spheres in $\mathbb{R}^d$. If $d = 4$, then X is a tight Euclidean 4-design in Table 1. If $d \geq 5$, then (X, w) is a Euclidean 3-design (the maximum strength is 3) where

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for any } x \in X_1, \\ \frac{d-3}{(d-1)^3} & \text{for any } x \in X_2. \end{cases}$$

Let $a = -\frac{1+(d-1)\sqrt{d+1}}{d\sqrt{d}}$ and $b = \frac{-1+\sqrt{d+1}}{d\sqrt{d}}$. We define $u_i = (u_{i,1}, u_{i,2}, \dots, u_{i,n})$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ by

$$u_{i,j} = \begin{cases} a & \text{for } j = i, \\ b & \text{for } j \neq i, \end{cases}$$

and $u_{d+1} := (1/\sqrt{d}, 1/\sqrt{d}, \dots, 1/\sqrt{d})$. Then, $U_d = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{d+1}\}$ is a regular simplex on the unit sphere. We define

$$L := \frac{1+b\sqrt{d}}{a-b}I + \frac{b\sqrt{d}}{a-b}(J-I),$$

where I is the identity matrix, and J is the matrix whose entries are all 1. The following two examples are constructed from tight Euclidean designs.

Example 4.5 ($s = 2, p = 2, d = 6$). Let X_1 be the regular simplex U_6 and

$$X_2 = \left\{ \frac{9}{2}u_7 + \frac{7}{4}(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_6)L \mid \epsilon_i \in \{-1, 1\}, |\{i \mid \epsilon_i = -1\}| = 1 \text{ or } 2 \right\},$$

where $u_7 = (1/\sqrt{6}, 1/\sqrt{6}, 1/\sqrt{6}, 1/\sqrt{6}, 1/\sqrt{6}, 1/\sqrt{6})$. The elements of X_2 are on the sphere of radius $\sqrt{15}$. Let $X' = X_1 \cup X_2$. We define the weight function w on X' by

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in X_1, \\ \frac{1}{81} & \text{for } x \in X_2. \end{cases}$$

Then, X' is a tight Euclidean 4-design on a union of two concentric spheres in $\mathbb{R}^6$ [12].

Let $X_3 = 1/15 X_2$ and $X = X_1 \cup X_3$. Then the elements of X_3 are on the sphere of radius $1/\sqrt{15}$. We can easily check that

$$B(x) = \left\{ -\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{15} \right\} \text{ for } x \in X_1, \quad (4.3)$$

$$B(x) = \left\{ -\frac{2}{75}, \frac{1}{50} \right\} \text{ for } x \in X_3. \quad (4.4)$$

Therefore, X is a tight inside 2-inner product set supported by a union of two concentric spheres. We can check that X is a Euclidean 3-design (the maximum strength is 3) with the weight function

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in X_1, \\ \frac{125}{3} & \text{for } x \in X_3. \end{cases}$$

Example 4.6 ($s = 2, p = 2, d = 22$). Let X_1 be the regular simplex U_{22} and

$$X_2 = \left\{ \frac{27}{4}u_{23} + \frac{69}{88}(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{22})L \mid (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{23}) \in Y \right\},$$

where $u_{23} = 1/\sqrt{22}(1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{22}$ and Y is a 253-point set in $\{(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{23}) \mid f_i \in \{-1, 1\}, |\{i \mid f_i = -1\}| = 7\}$, satisfying $|\{i \mid f_i = f'_i = -1\}| = 1$ or 3 for any distinct $(f_1, \dots, f_{23}), (f'_1, \dots, f'_{23})$ in Y . Y is combinatorial tight 4-design in $J(23, 7)$, i.e., tight 4-(23, 7, 1) design. The elements of X_2 are on the sphere of radius $\sqrt{126/11}$. Let $X' = X_1 \cup X_2$. We define the weight function w on X' by

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in X_1, \\ \frac{1}{81} & \text{for } x \in X_2. \end{cases}$$

Then, X' is a tight Euclidean 4-design on a union of two concentric spheres in $\mathbb{R}^{22}$ [12].

Let $X_3 = 1/24 X_2$ and $X = X_1 \cup X_3$. Then the elements of X_3 are on the sphere of radius $1/4\sqrt{7/22}$. We can easily check that

$$B(x) = \left\{ -\frac{1}{22}, \frac{7}{352} \right\} \text{ for } x \in X_1, \quad (4.5)$$

$$B(x) = \left\{ -\frac{13}{2816}, \frac{5}{1408} \right\} \text{ for } x \in X_3. \quad (4.6)$$

Therefore, X is a tight inside 2-inner product set supported by a union of two concentric spheres. We can check that X is a Euclidean 3-design (the maximum strength is 3) with the weight function

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in X_1, \\ \frac{512}{3} & \text{for } x \in X_3. \end{cases}$$

Example 4.7 ($s = 2, p = 4, d = 2$). We define $X_1 := \{(0, 0)\}$, $X_2 := \{(1, 0), (0, 1)\}$, $X_3 := \{(1, 1)\}$ and $X_4 := \{(2, 0), (0, 2)\}$. Then, $r_1 = 0, r_2 = 1, r_3 = \sqrt{2}, r_4 = 2$, $B(x)$ is empty for $x \in X_1$, $B(x) = \{0\}$ for $x \in X_2$, $B(x) = \{0, 1\}$ for $x \in X_3$ and $B(x) = \{0, 2\}$ for $x \in X_4$. Therefore, $X := X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3 \cup X_4$ is a tight inside 2-inner product set supported by a union of four concentric spheres in $\mathbb{R}^2$. However, X is not even a Euclidean 1-design.

5 The non-existence of tight 2- or 3-inner product sets

In this section, we prove the non-existence of tight 2- or 3-inner product sets supported by a union of two concentric spheres. We collect some results to prove this result.

Theorem 5.1 (Rankin bound [26]). *Let X be a finite set in S^{d-1} .*

- (1) *If $\alpha < 0$ for all $\alpha \in A(X)$, then $|X| \leq d + 1$.*
- (2) *If $\alpha \leq 0$ for all $\alpha \in A(X)$, then $|X| \leq 2d$.*

It is known that there exist many examples that attain the upper bound in Theorem 5.1 (1), such as a d -dimensional regular simplex. Examples that attain the upper bound in Theorem 5.1 (2) are only the cross polytopes $\{\pm e_1, \dots, \pm e_d\}$ where $\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq d}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^d$.

We prove the following theorem as a generalization of the Rankin bound from S^{d-1} to $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Theorem 5.2 (Rankin bound for $\mathbb{R}^d$). *Let X be a finite set in $\mathbb{R}^d$.*

- (1) *If $\alpha < 0$ for all $\alpha \in A(X)$, then $|X| \leq d + 1$.*
- (2) *If $\alpha \leq 0$ for all $\alpha \in A(X)$, then $|X| \leq 2d + 1$.*

Proof. We prove the first statement. Let X be a finite subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\alpha < 0$ for $\alpha \in A(X)$. Note that $0 \notin X$. For any distinct $x, y \in X$, we have $(x/\|x\|, y/\|y\|) < 0$, and hence $x/\|x\| \neq y/\|y\|$. We define $X' := \{x/\|x\| \mid x \in X\} \subset S^{d-1}$. Since $(x, y) < 0$ for any distinct $x, y \in X'$, $|X| = |X'| \leq d + 1$ by the Rankin bound in Theorem 5.1.

We prove the second statement. Let X be a finite subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\alpha \leq 0$ for $\alpha \in A(X)$. Define $\varepsilon_X = 1$ if $0 \in X$, and $\varepsilon_X = 0$ if $0 \notin X$. For any distinct non-zero elements $x, y \in X$, we have $(x/\|x\|, y/\|y\|) \leq 0$, and hence $x/\|x\| \neq y/\|y\|$. We define $X' = \{x/\|x\| \mid x \in X, x \neq 0\} \subset S^{d-1}$. Since $(x, y) \leq 0$ for any distinct $x, y \in X'$, $|X| = |X'| + \varepsilon_X \leq 2d + 1$ by the Rankin bound in Theorem 5.1. $\square$

There exist many examples that attain the upper bound in Theorem 5.2 (1), such as a d -dimensional regular simplex. Since we have classified the sets that attain the upper bound in Theorem 5.1 (2), it is easy to see that examples that attain the upper bound in Theorem 5.2 (2) are only the following sets:

$$X = \{0, a_1 e_1, a_2 e_2, \dots, a_d e_d, -a_{d+1} e_1, -a_{d+2} e_2, \dots, -a_{2d} e_d\} \quad (5.1)$$

where a_i are positive real numbers.

The following upper bound is useful for classifying s -inner product sets on S^{d-1} .

Theorem 5.3 ([25]). *Let X be an s -inner product sets on S^{d-1} . Let h_l be the dimension of $\text{Harm}_l(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $G_l^{(d)}(t)$ be the d -dimensional Gegenbauer polynomial of degree l , normalized by $G_l^{(d)}(1) = h_l$. We define the polynomial $F_X(t)$ of degree s :*

$$F_X(t) := \prod_{\alpha \in A(X)} (t - \alpha) = \sum_{i=0}^s f_i G_i^{(d)}(t),$$

where f_i are real numbers. Then,

$$|X| \leq \sum_{i \text{ with } f_i > 0} h_i.$$

If $f_i > 0$ for all i , then this upper bound coincides with the Fisher type upper bound in Theorem 2.4 (1).

Corollary 5.4. *Let X be a 2-inner product set and $A(X) = \{\alpha, \beta\}$. Then, $F_X(t) := (t - \alpha)(t - \beta) = \sum_{i=0}^2 f_i G_i^{(d)}(t)$ where $f_0 = \alpha\beta + 1/d$, $f_1 = -(\alpha + \beta)/d$ and $f_2 = 2/(d(d-2))$. If $\alpha + \beta \geq 0$, then*

$$|X| \leq \binom{d+1}{2}.$$

Musin proved this corollary by the polynomial method in [23].

Lemma 5.5. *Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be an (resp. antipodal) inside s -inner product set supported by $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\{\varphi_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq v}$ be a basis of $\mathcal{P}_s(\mathcal{S})$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}_s^*(\mathcal{S})$), and v be the dimension of $\mathcal{P}_s(\mathcal{S})$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}_s^*(\mathcal{S})$). Let M be the $n \times v$ matrix whose (i, j) -entry is $\varphi_j(x_i)$ (resp. $\varphi_j(y_i)$). Then, $n \leq v$ and the rank of M is n . In particular, if $n = v$, then M is a nonsingular matrix.*

Proof. We define the d -variable polynomial $f_{x_k}(\xi)$ as in the proof of Theorem 2.5. Since $f_{x_k}(\xi)$ is of degree at most s , we can write $f_{x_k}(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^v a_i^{(x_k)} \varphi_i(\xi)$ for some $a_i^{(x_k)}$ are real numbers. N is defined by the $n \times v$ matrix, whose (i, j) -entry is $a_j^{(x_i)}$. Then, NM^T is an upper triangular matrix whose diagonal entries are all 1, and hence is of rank n . Therefore, M is of rank n . $\square$

Lemma 5.6. *Let X be an s -inner product set in $\mathbb{R}^d$, and $F_X(t) = \sum_{\alpha \in A(X)} (t - \alpha) = \sum_{i=0}^s f_i t^i$, where f_i are real numbers. If $|X| > 2d + 1$, then there exists i such that $f_i < 0$.*

Proof. Let X be an s -inner product set in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Assume $|X| > 2d + 1$. By Theorem 5.2, there exists $\alpha \in A(X)$ such that $\alpha > 0$. If we assume $f_i \geq 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq s$, then $F_X(t)$ is monotonically increasing for $t > 0$. Since $f_0 \geq 0$, this is a contradiction for $\alpha > 0$. $\square$

Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$, and $|\lambda| = \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i$. We define $x^\lambda := x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \dots x_d^{\lambda_d}$ and $\binom{|\lambda|}{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_d} := \frac{|\lambda|!}{\lambda_1! \lambda_2! \dots \lambda_d!}$. Then, for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$(x, y)^k = \sum_{|\lambda|=k} \binom{|\lambda|}{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_d} x^\lambda y^\lambda = \sum_{|\lambda|=k} \varphi_\lambda(x) \varphi_\lambda(y) \quad (5.2)$$

where $\varphi_\lambda(x) := \sqrt{\binom{|\lambda|}{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_d}} x^\lambda$. Let H_l be the matrix whose rows and columns indexed by X and $\{\varphi_\lambda \mid |\lambda| = l\}$ respectively, whose (x, λ) entry is $\varphi_\lambda(x)$. For any polynomial $F(t) = \sum_{i=0}^k f_i t^i$ of degree k where f_i are real numbers, define

$$M(F) = \begin{bmatrix} f_0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & f_1 I_{h'_1} & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & f_k I_{h'_k} \end{bmatrix}$$

where I_m is the identity matrix of degree m and $h'_i = \dim \text{Hom}_i(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By the equality (5.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [H_0 \ H_1 \ \dots \ H_k] M(F) \begin{bmatrix} H_0^T \\ H_1^T \\ \vdots \\ H_k^T \end{bmatrix} &= \sum_{i=0}^k f_i H_i H_i^T \\ &= \left[\sum_{i=0}^k f_i \cdot (x, y)^i \right]_{(x, y) \in X \times X} \\ &= [F((x, y))]_{(x, y) \in X \times X}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

In particular, if X is an s -inner product set and $F(t) := \prod_{\alpha \in A(X)} (t - \alpha)$, then $[f((x, y))]_{(x, y) \in X \times X}$ is a diagonal matrix.

Lemma 5.7. *Let X be a tight 2-inner product set, which is supported by a union of two concentric spheres, does not contain the origin, and is not antipodal. Let $A(X) = \{\alpha, \beta\}$ where $\alpha > \beta$. Then, $(|X_1|, |X_2|) = (1, d + \binom{d+1}{2})$, $(d, 1 + \binom{d+1}{2})$, or $(d+1, \binom{d+1}{2})$. Furthermore, $(|X_1|, |X_2|) = (1, d + \binom{d+1}{2})$ if and only if $\alpha > 0$, $\beta < 0$ and $\alpha + \beta < 0$. $(|X_1|, |X_2|) = (d, 1 + \binom{d+1}{2})$ if and only if $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$. $(|X_1|, |X_2|) = (d+1, \binom{d+1}{2})$ if and only if $\alpha > 0$, $\beta < 0$ and $\alpha + \beta > 0$.*

Proof. Let X be a tight 2-inner product set, which is supported by a union of two concentric spheres, does not contain the origin, and is not antipodal, and $A(X) = \{\alpha, \beta\}$. Then, $|X| = \dim \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) = \binom{d+2}{2} = 1 + d + \binom{d+1}{2}$. We define $F(t) := (t - \alpha)(t - \beta) = \sum_{i=0}^2 f_i t^i$ where $f_0 = \alpha\beta$, $f_1 = -(\alpha + \beta)$, and $f_2 = 1$. By the equality (5.3), we may write

$$\begin{bmatrix} H_0 & H_1 & H_2 \end{bmatrix} M(F) \begin{bmatrix} H_0^T \\ H_1^T \\ H_2^T \end{bmatrix} = N$$

where

$$N = \begin{bmatrix} (r_1^2 - \alpha)(r_1^2 - \beta)I_{|X_1|} & 0 \\ 0 & (r_2^2 - \alpha)(r_2^2 - \beta)I_{|X_2|} \end{bmatrix}.$$

By Lemma 5.5, $\begin{bmatrix} H_0 & H_1 & H_2 \end{bmatrix}$ is a nonsingular matrix. Since the signature as quadratic form is independent under congruence transformations by the Sylvester's law of inertia, the numbers of positive, negative and zero eigenvalues of $M(F)$ are equal to those of N respectively. By Theorem 5.2, $\alpha > 0$. Hence, by Lemma 5.6, some f_i are negative. Furthermore, $(r_2^2 - \alpha)(r_2^2 - \beta) > 0$. Therefore,

$$|X_1| = \sum_{i \text{ with } f_i < 0} h'_i, \quad |X_2| = \sum_{i \text{ with } f_i > 0} h'_i.$$

Since $f_2 > 0$, we can determine $(|X_1|, |X_2|) = (1, d + \binom{d+1}{2})$, $(d, 1 + \binom{d+1}{2})$, or $(d + 1, \binom{d+1}{2})$. Therefore, the statement of this Lemma is immediate. $\square$

Remark that if X is a tight 2-inner product set on a union of two concentric spheres, then X_1 is a 1-inner product set or one point set, because $(r_1^2 - \alpha)(r_1^2 - \beta)$ is negative.

Lemma 5.8. *Let X be a tight 3-inner product set, which is supported by a union of two concentric spheres, does not contain the origin, and is not antipodal. Let $A(X) = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ where $\alpha > \beta > \gamma$. Then, $(|X_1|, |X_2|) = (d + \binom{d+1}{2}, 1 + \binom{d+2}{3})$, $(1 + \binom{d+1}{2}, d + \binom{d+2}{3})$, $(1 + d, \binom{d+1}{2} + \binom{d+2}{3})$, or $(\binom{d+1}{2}, 1 + d + \binom{d+2}{3})$. Furthermore, $(|X_1|, |X_2|) = (d + \binom{d+1}{2}, 1 + \binom{d+2}{3})$ if and only if $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$, $\gamma < 0$, $\alpha + \beta + \gamma > 0$, and $\alpha\beta + \beta\gamma + \gamma\alpha < 0$. $(|X_1|, |X_2|) = (1 + \binom{d+1}{2}, d + \binom{d+2}{3})$ if and only if $\alpha > 0$, $\beta < 0$, $\gamma < 0$, $\alpha + \beta + \gamma > 0$, and $\alpha\beta + \beta\gamma + \gamma\alpha > 0$. $(|X_1|, |X_2|) = (1 + d, \binom{d+1}{2} + \binom{d+2}{3})$ if and only if $\alpha > 0$, $\beta < 0$, $\gamma < 0$, $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 0$, and $\alpha\beta + \beta\gamma + \gamma\alpha < 0$. $(|X_1|, |X_2|) = (\binom{d+1}{2}, 1 + d + \binom{d+2}{3})$ if and only if $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$, $\gamma < 0$, $\alpha + \beta + \gamma > 0$, and $\alpha\beta + \beta\gamma + \gamma\alpha > 0$.*

Proof. Let X be a tight 3-inner product set, which is supported by a union of two concentric spheres, does not contain the origin, and is not antipodal. Let $A(X) = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$. Define $F(X) = (t - \alpha)(t - \beta)(t - \gamma) = \sum_{i=0}^3 f_i t^i$ where $f_0 = -\alpha\beta\gamma$, $f_1 = \alpha\beta + \beta\gamma + \gamma\beta$, $f_2 = -(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)$ and $f_3 = 1$. Since $|X| = \dim \mathcal{P}_3(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we can use the same method as in the proof of Lemma 5.7. Therefore, we can get $(|X_1|, |X_2|) = (1 + d + \binom{d+1}{2}, \binom{d+2}{3})$, $(d + \binom{d+1}{2}, 1 + \binom{d+2}{3})$, $(1 + \binom{d+1}{2}, d + \binom{d+2}{3})$, $(1 + d, \binom{d+1}{2} + \binom{d+2}{3})$, $(1, d + \binom{d+1}{2} + \binom{d+2}{3})$, $(d, 1 + \binom{d+1}{2} + \binom{d+2}{3})$, or $(\binom{d+1}{2}, 1 + d + \binom{d+2}{3})$. Since $(r_1^2 - \alpha)(r_1^2 - \beta)(r_1^2 - \gamma)$ is negative, X_1 is at most 2-inner product set on a sphere. Therefore, $|X_1| \leq \binom{d+1}{2} + d$. X_2 is at most 3-inner product set on a sphere, and hence $|X_2| \leq \binom{d+1}{2} + \binom{d+2}{3}$. This proves the statement. $\square$

The following are the main theorems in this section.

Theorem 5.9. *There does not exist a tight 2-inner product set, that is supported by a union of two concentric spheres and is not antipodal, for any $d \geq 2$.*

Proof. Let X be a tight 2-inner product set supported by a union of two concentric spheres, and $A(X) := \{\alpha, \beta\}$ where $\alpha > \beta$. We have $|X| = \dim \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) = \binom{d+2}{2}$. If X contains the origin, then X_1 is the origin and X_2 is a tight spherical 4-design. Since X_2 is a tight spherical 4-design, $A(X_2)$ does not contain zero. Hence, X cannot contain the origin. By Lemma 5.7, we can know $|X_1|$, $|X_2|$, and the properties of α and β .

Assume $|X_1| = 1$ and $|X_2| = d + \binom{d+1}{2}$. Then $\alpha > 0$, $\beta < 0$, $\alpha + \beta < 0$ and X_2 is a tight spherical 4-design. Since X_2 is a tight spherical 4-design, for $x_1 \in X_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{x \in X_2} (x, x_1) &= n_\alpha \alpha + n_\beta \beta = 0, \\ \sum_{x \in X_2} (x, x_1)^3 &= n_\alpha \alpha^3 + n_\beta \beta^3 = 0,\end{aligned}$$

where $n_k = |\{x \in X_2 \mid (x, x_1) = k\}|$ (see [11]). This implies that $n_\alpha = 0$ and $n_\beta = 0$, a contradiction.

If $|X_1| = d$ and $|X_2| = 1 + \binom{d+1}{2}$, then $\alpha + \beta > 0$. By Corollary 5.4, we have $|X_2| \leq \binom{d+1}{2}$, a contradiction.

Assume $|X_1| = d + 1$ and $|X_2| = \binom{d+1}{2}$. Then, $\alpha > 0$, $\beta < 0$, and $\alpha + \beta < 0$. Since X_1 is a 1-inner product set and $|X_1| = d + 1$, X_1 is a tight spherical 2-design. Without loss of generality, we assume $r_1 = 1$. Since X_1 is a tight spherical 2-design, for $x_2 \in X_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{x \in X_1} (x, x_2)^0 &= n_\alpha + n_\beta = d + 1, \\ \sum_{x \in X_1} (x, x_2) &= n_\alpha \alpha + n_\beta \left(-\frac{1}{d}\right) = 0, \\ \sum_{x \in X_1} (x, x_2)^2 &= n_\alpha \alpha^2 + n_\beta \left(-\frac{1}{d}\right)^2 = r_2^2 \frac{d+1}{d},\end{aligned}$$

where $n_k = |\{x \in X_1 \mid (x, x_2) = k\}|$. This implies $\alpha = r_2^2$, a contradiction. $\square$

Theorem 5.10. *There does not exist a tight 3-inner product set, that is supported by a union of two concentric spheres and is not antipodal, for any $d \geq 2$.*

Proof. Let X be a tight 3-inner product set supported by a union of two concentric spheres, and $A(X) := \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ where $\alpha > \beta > \gamma$. If X contains the origin, then X_2 is a tight spherical 6-design. A tight spherical 6-design does not exist, except for the regular heptagon on S^1 . Thus, no tight 3-inner product set exists on $\{0\} \cup S_2$. Assume that X does not contain the origin. Then, $|X| = \dim \mathcal{P}_3(\mathbb{R}^d) = \binom{d+3}{3}$. By Lemma 5.8, we can know $|X_1|$, $|X_2|$, and the properties of α , β and γ .

Assume $|X_1| = d + \binom{d+1}{2}$ and $|X_2| = 1 + \binom{d+2}{3}$. Since X_1 is a 2-distance set and $|X_1| = d + \binom{d+1}{2}$, X_1 is a tight spherical 4-design. Without loss of generality, we assume $r_1 = 1$. Then $A(X_1) = \{\frac{-1+\sqrt{d+3}}{d+2}\}$. For a fixed $x \in X_2$ and $k \in A(X_2)$, we define $n_k = |\{y \in X_1 \mid (y, x) = k\}|$. Since X_1 is a tight spherical 4-design, we have the following equations:

$$n_\alpha + n_\beta + n_\gamma = |X_1|, \tag{5.4}$$

$$\alpha n_\alpha + \beta n_\beta + \gamma n_\gamma = 0, \tag{5.5}$$

$$\alpha^3 n_\alpha + \beta^3 n_\beta + \gamma^3 n_\gamma = 0. \tag{5.6}$$

Since $\beta = \frac{-1+\sqrt{d+3}}{d+2}$ and $\gamma = \frac{-1-\sqrt{d+3}}{d+2}$, we can determine

$$n_\alpha = \frac{d(d+3)}{2 + \alpha^3(2+d)^2 - \alpha(d+6)}.$$

Since $(1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta)(1 - \gamma) < 0$ and hence $\alpha > 1$, we have $n_\alpha < 1$, a contradiction.

Assume $|X_1| = 1 + \binom{d+1}{2}$ and $|X_2| = d + \binom{d+2}{3}$. Then, $\alpha > 0$, $\beta < 0$, $\gamma < 0$, $\alpha + \beta + \gamma > 0$, and $\alpha\beta + \beta\gamma + \gamma\alpha > 0$. We have $\alpha\beta + \beta\gamma + \gamma\alpha < -(\beta + \gamma)^2 + \beta\gamma = -\beta^2 - \beta\gamma - \gamma^2 < 0$, a contradiction.

Assume $|X_1| = 1 + d$ and $|X_2| = \binom{d+1}{2} + \binom{d+2}{3}$. Then, X_2 is a tight spherical 6-design. It is well known that the only tight spherical 6-design on S^{d-1} is the regular heptagon on S^1 , a contradiction.

Assume $|X_1| = \binom{d+1}{2}$ and $|X_2| = 1 + d + \binom{d+2}{3}$. Then, $\alpha + \beta + \gamma > 0$. We have the Gegenbauer expansion $(t - \alpha)(t - \beta)(t - \gamma) = \sum_{k=0}^3 a_k G_k^{(d)}(t)$ where $a_2 = -2(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)/d(d+2)$. By Theorem 5.3, $|X_2| \leq \binom{d+2}{3} + 1$, a contradiction. $\square$

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Professor Eiichi Bannai and Professor Akihiro Munemasa for providing useful information and comments.

References

- [1] B. Bajnok: On Euclidean designs, *Adv. Geom.* 6 (2006), no. 3, 423–438.
- [2] B. Bajnok, Orbits of the hyperoctahedral group as Euclidean designs, *J. Algebraic Combin.* 25 (2007), 375–397.
- [3] Ei. Bannai and Et. Bannai, *Algebraic Combinatorics on Spheres*, Springer, Tokyo, 1999 (in Japanese).
- [4] Ei. Bannai and Et. Bannai, On Euclidean tight 4-designs, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 58 (2006), no. 3, 775–804.
- [5] Ei. Bannai and Et. Bannai, Spherical designs and Euclidean designs, accepted for publication, in *Recent Developments in Algebra and Related Areas*, ALM 8, Higher Education Press and International Press, Beijing-Boston, 2008, 1–37.
- [6] Ei. Bannai, Et. Bannai, M. Hirao, and M. Sawa, Cubature formulas in numerical analysis and Euclidean tight designs, accepted for publication, in a special issue in honor of Michel Deza, *Europ. J. Combin.*
- [7] E. Bannai, E. Bannai and D. Stanton, An upper bound for the cardinality of an s -distance set in real Euclidean space, *Combinatorica* 3 (1983), 147–152.
- [8] Ei. Bannai, Et. Bannai and D. Suprijanto, On the strong non-rigidity of certain tight Euclidean designs, *European J. Combin.* 28 (2007), no. 6, 1662–1680.
- [9] Ei. Bannai and R.M. Damerell, Tight spherical designs. I, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 31 (1979), no. 1, 199–207.
- [10] Ei. Bannai and R.M. Damerell, Tight spherical designs. II, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 21 (1980), no. 1, 13–30.
- [11] Ei. Bannai, A. Munemasa, and B. Venkov, The nonexistence of certain tight spherical designs. With an appendix by Y.-F. S. Petermann, *Algebra i Analiz* 16 (2004), no. 4, 1–23; translation in *St. Petersburg Math. J.* 16 (2005), no. 4, 609–625.
- [12] Et. Bannai, New examples of Euclidean tight 4-designs, accepted for publication, *Europ. J. Combinatorics*.
- [13] Et. Bannai, On antipodal Euclidean tight $(2e + 1)$ -designs. *J. Algebraic Combin.* 24 (2006), no. 4, 391–414.
- [14] Et. Bannai, K. Kawasaki, Y. Nitamizu, and T. Sato, An upper bound for the cardinality of an s -distance set in Euclidean space, *Combinatorica* 23 (2003), no. 4, 535–557.
- [15] P. Delsarte, J.M. Goethals, and J.J. Seidel, Spherical codes and designs, *Geom. Dedicata* 6 (1977), no. 3, 363–388.
- [16] P. Delsarte and J.J. Seidel, Fisher type inequalities for Euclidean t -designs, *Linear Algebra and its Appl.* 114–115 (1989), 213–230.
- [17] M. Deza and P. Frankl, Bounds on the maximum number of vectors with given scalar products, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 95 (1985), no. 2, 323–329.
- [18] A. Erdélyi et al, *Higher Transcendental Functions II*, (Bateman Manuscript Project), MacGraw-Hill, 1953.

- [19] T. Koornwinder, A note on the absolute bound for systems of lines. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 79=Indag. Math.* 38 (1976), no. 2, 152–153.
- [20] P. Lisoněk, New maximal two-distance sets, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* 77 (1997), 318–338.
- [21] H.M. Möller, Kubaturformeln mit minimaler Knotenzahl, *Numer. Math.* 25 (1975/76), no. 2, 185–200.
- [22] H.M. Möller, Lower bounds for the number of nodes in cubature formulae, Numerische Integration (Tagung, Math. Forschungsinst., Oberwolfach, 1978), 221–230, *Internat. Ser. Numer. Math.* 45, Birkhäuser, Basel-Boston, Mass., 1979.
- [23] O.R. Musin, On spherical two-distance sets, to appear in *J. Combin. Theory. Ser. A*.
- [24] A. Neumaier and J.J. Seidel, Discrete measures for spherical designs, eutactic stars and lattices. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math.* 50 (1988), no. 3, 321–334.
- [25] H. Nozaki and M. Shinohara, On a generalization of distance sets, arXiv:0906.0199.
- [26] R.A. Rankin, On the closest packing of spheres in n dimensions, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 48, (1947), 1062–1081.
- [27] M.A. Taylor, Cubature for the sphere and the discrete spherical harmonic transform, *SIAM J. Numer. Math.* 32 (1995), 667–670.